

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,512	02/07/2002	Cathleen M. Arsenault	57418US002	2889	
32692	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
	ATIVE PROPERTIES O	NICOLAS, FREDERICK C			
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		3754			
		DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/072,512	ARSENAULT ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Frederick C. Nicolas	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (aperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136 umunication. (30) days, a reply of statutory period will by will, by statute, of	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fo cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
· —	•							
8)∟	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	$\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (		5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
- 2. Claims 1,3-5,7,9,12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ngoc-Xang TRAN (FR 1496857).

Ngoc-Xang Tran discloses a unitary dosing device (1 and 10) for a liquid as seen in Figure 1, which comprises a main chamber see Figure 1 for location, (note: the main chamber is the upper area within element 10), where the main chamber is sized to hold more than one dose of the liquid as seen in Figure 1 and it is inherent that the main chamber of Ngoc-Xang Tran is sized to hold more than one dose of the liquid in as much as the applicant's claimed invention, a dosing chamber 2 is sized to hold one dose of the liquid, in fluidic communication with the main chamber, an unbiased shuttle 5 is adapted for movement between a first position in which the liquid can flow between the main chamber and the dosing chamber, but not out of the device as seen in Figure 1, and a second position in which the shuttle is depressed and seals the dosing chamber from the main chamber, and permits the liquid to exit the device as seen in Figure 2.

The device shown by Ngoc-Xang Tran will perform the method recited in claim 15 during normal operational use of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aperlo 3,738,543.

Aperlo discloses in a dosing device 11 having a dosing chamber 22, a removable volumetric spacer 56 that, when placed in the dosing chamber, reduces the volume available for a liquid within that dosing chamber (col. 6, II. 24-32).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ngoc-Xang TRAN (FR 1496857) in view of Aperlo 3,738,543.

Ngoc-Xang TRAN (FR 1496857) has all the features of the claimed invention except that the device includes a volumetric spacer within the dosing chamber. Aperlo teaches the use of a volumetric device 56 within a dosing chamber 11.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Aperlo's volumetric spacer within the dosing chamber of Ngoc-Xang TRAN as such, in order to allow the dispensing of a lesser, preselected amount of liquid, as taught by Aperlo (col. 6, II. 29-32).

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 is allowed.

••

Application/Control Number: 10/072,512

Art Unit: 3754

7. Claims 8,10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2003 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection. However, applicants argue that the reference of Ngoc-Xang Tran does not disclose "an unbiased shuttle". Applicants should note that the shuttle of Ngoc-Xang Tran is one piece. Therefore, One having ordinary skill in the art cannot say that the shuttle is biased. Note: an element cannot biased itself". Applicants argue that the reference of Ngoc-Xang Tran does not disclose, "the activation location for the shuttle is disposed at an opposite end of the device from a dispensation location where the liquid exits the device". As seen in Figure 2 and as explained above by the applicants on page 3, lines 10-13 of their response to the Office Action, "the bartender lifts the bottle to activate the shuttle", which clearly located on the opposite end of the dispensing opening (4).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mancene L. Gene, can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/072,512

Art Unit: 3754

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-

872-9302 and for after final communication (703)-872-9303.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0861.

FΝ

December 15, 2003

Frederick C. Nicolas

Page 5

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3754